



SPECIFICATIONS

Tested model	Honda WR-V
Body type	5 door Hatchback - sedan
Year of publication	2022
Crash test weight (KG)	1340
VIN from which Rating applies	
Made in	Brazil
Test valid for	Latin NCAP market



SAFETY EQUIPMENT


SAFETY EQUIPMENT			
	DRIVER	PASSENGER	REAR
FRONTAL CRASH PROTECTION			
Frontal airbag	YES STANDARD	YES STANDARD	NOT APPLICABLE
Belt pretensioner	YES STANDARD	YES STANDARD	NOT AVAILABLE
Belt Loadlimiter	YES STANDARD	YES STANDARD	NOT AVAILABLE
knee airbag	NOT AVAILABLE	NOT AVAILABLE	NOT APPLICABLE
SIDE CRASH PROTECTION			
Side head curtain airbag	NO-JUST OPTIONAL	NO-JUST OPTIONAL	NO-JUST OPTIONAL
Side head (thorax head) airbag	NOT AVAILABLE	NOT AVAILABLE	NOT AVAILABLE
Side Chest airbag	NO-JUST OPTIONAL	NO-JUST OPTIONAL	NOT AVAILABLE
Side pelvis airbag	NOT AVAILABLE	NOT AVAILABLE	NOT AVAILABLE
CHILD PROTECTION			
ISOFIX	NOT APPLICABLE	NOT AVAILABLE	YES OUTBOARD SEATS
Integrated CRS	NOT APPLICABLE	NOT AVAILABLE	NO
Airbag cut-offswitch	NOT APPLICABLE	NO	NOT APPLICABLE
SAFETY ASSIST			
Seat Belt Reminder (SBR)	YES STANDARD	YES STANDARD	NOT AVAILABLE
OTHER SAFETY SYSTEMS			
ESC standard		YES STANDARD	
GTR 9 - UN 127 Pedestrian protection	ME	ETS UN127 STANDARD	
AEB Pedestrian		NOT AVAILABLE	
AEB City	NOT AVAILABLE		
AEB inter-Urban		NOT AVAILABLE	
Speed Assist System	NOT AVAILABLE		
Lane Assist system		NOT AVAILABLE	



WHIPLASH REAR IMPACT 2.25 p

SIDE POLE IMPACT TEST (Pole)

NOT PERFORMED





PROTECTION	GOOD	ADEQUATE MARGINAL	WEAK	POOR

UN R32 (max 1 point) 1

AEB City (max 3 points) NOT PERFORMED

Rescue Sheet (max 1 point) 0

FUEL LEAKAGE DETECTED IN ANY TEST CONFIGURATION

NO



Adult Occupant

16.41 points 41.03%



COMMENTS

Adult Occupant - Frontal impact: The protection offered to the driver and passenger head and neck was good. Driver and passenger chest showed adequate protection. Driver and passenger's knees showed marginal protection as they can impact with dangerous structures behind the fascia. Driver tibias showed adequate protection and passenger's tibias showed good protection. Footwell area was rated as stable. The bodyshell was rated as stable. Side impact: Head, abdomen and pelvis protection was good and chest protection was marginal. Side Pole Impact: was not performed as the car does not offer side head protection as standard. Whiplash: the seat showed good protection to the adult neck. UN R32: the car meets the rear impact structure requirements. AEB City: the car does not offer AEB City. Rescue sheet: not available.

CHILD RESTRAINT SYSTEM

Dynamic score: 14.64 (max 24)

Installation score: 5.28 (max 12)

Vehicle assessment score: 0.00 (max 13)

	CHILD RESTRAINT	DYNAMIC SCORE	CRS TYPE	ADJUST	POSITION
18 month old child	Britax roemer baby safe	Front 7.70 (8 max) Side 4.00 (4 max)	0+	Belted	RWF
3 year old child	Britax roemer duo plus	Front 6.94 (8 max) Side 4.00 (4 max)	I	ISOFIX/TT	FWF

	Front Passenger	2nd row outboard	2nd row centre
ISOFIX	NOT AVAILABLE	YES STANDARD	NOT AVAILABLE
I-Size	NOT AVAILABLE	NOT AVAILABLE	NOT AVAILABLE
Integrated CRS	NOT AVAILABLE	NOT AVAILABLE	NOT AVAILABLE

CRS INSTALLATION ASSESSMENT

					2ND ROW	
REFERENCE LIST			RIGHT	LEFT	CENTER	RIGHT
Group 0+	Peg Perego Viaggio Switchable		×			
Group 0+	Roemer Baby Safe		×		\circ	
Group 0+	Bébé Confort Streety Fix / Max	ri Cosi Citi SPS	×			
Group I	Peg Perego Viaggio Switchable	• FWF				
Group I	Graco Cadeira Nautilus FWF					
Group II/III	Burigotto Multipla 1,2,3					
Group II/III	Graco Cadeira Nautilus					
MANUFACTURER			RIGHT	LEFT	CENTER	RIGHT
Q1.5	Britax roemer baby safe	Belted	×	•		
Q3	Britax roemer duo plus	ISOFIX/TT	\circ		\circ	
Pass X	Fail		*Fa	ail only du	ie to failed n	narking



19.92 points 40.66%



COMMENTS

Child occupant - The child seat for the 3-year-old child was installed forward facing using the ISOFIX anchorages and Top Tether and was able to prevent excessive forward movement of the head during the impact. The 18 months old child seat was installed rearward facing using the seatbelt and could prevent the head to be exposed in the frontal impact. Both CRSs offered good protection in the side impact. The car offers 3-point belts in all seating positions as standard in all versions. It was not possible to disconnect the passenger airbag in case a rearward facing CRS will be installed in this position. I-size conditions were not met by this car. Some of the CRS failed the installation.

PEDESTRIAN PROTECTION (pasive)

28.23 points

The car meets regulation UN 127 for pedestrian protection



	Points	max score
Head impact	16.23	24
Upper Leg impact (Pelvis)	6.00	6
Lowe Leg impact (Leg)	6.00	6

AEB PEDESTRIAN NO TEST

Balance factor for Pedestrian protection (until 2022)

15%

Name of System	-
speed range Minimum (km/h)	-
speed range Maximum (km/h)	-
Type of dummy used	-
Dummy speed	-
Obstruct bn scenario used	-

PERFORMANCE

Test Speed (km/h)	Test Speed (km/h)	CONTACT AVOIDED
20km/h to 30km/h	-	-
30km/h to 40km/h	-	-
More than 40km/h	-	-



PEDESTRIAN PROTECTION

28.23 points 58.82 %



COMMENTS

Pedestrian Protection: The car meets Pedestrian protection UN regulations. The car showed majority of areas with average and marginal protection levels. Upper and lower leg performance showed good protection. **AEB VRU:** the car does not offer AEB VRU.



SPEED ASSISTENCE

NO TEST

SEAT BELT REMINDER

6.00 points

(this feature scores only if it is standard in all versions)

(this feature scores only if it is standard in all versions)

Warning	Driver	Passenger	Rear Passenger
Visual	✓	~	×
Audible	✓	✓	×

LANE SUPPORT SYSTEMS

NO TEST

LDW is not available according to Latin NCAP requirements LKA is not available according to Latin NCAP requirements

System Name	-
Functionality	-
Operational from (km/h)	-
Warning	-

PERFORMANCE	

LDW Test	LKA Test	-
	LDW Test	-

ROAD EDGE DETECTION (this feature scores only if it meets the fittment rates required)

NO TEST

RED is not available according to Latin NCAP requirements

BLIND SPOT DETECTION (this feature scores only if it meets the fittment rates required)

NO TEST

BSD is not available according to Latin NCAP requirements BSD could not be confirmed by Validation

ESC 15 points

ESC is STANDARD IN ALL VERSIONS

ESC Meets regulatory requirements of Latin NCAP

MOOSE TEST - for information only - no scoring

ADAC MOOSE TEST

Max Speed Achieved in test without failures (km/h) 85

MOOOSE TEST: CONSUMER TESTING

Max Speed Achieved in test without failures (km/h) 75

AEB Interurban	NO TEST
System Name	-
Functionality	-
Operational from (km/h)	-

PERFORMANCE

	AEB		Driver React	s to warning
Operational Speed (km/h) Min Max	-	-	-	-
Approaching a slower moving car	-	-	-	-

FOLLOWING A CAR AT SHORT DISTANCE

1 OLLOWING A CARRACT SI	TOTAL DISTRACE	
	AEB	Driver Reacts to warning
Car in front brakes gently	-	-
Car in front brakes harshly	-	-

FOLLOWING A CAR AT LONG DISTANCE

	AEB	Driver Reacts to warning
Car in front brakes gently	-	-
Car in front brakes harshly	-	-



SAFETY ASSIST

21.00 points 48.84%



COMMENTS

Safety Assist Systems: SBR: The car only offers frontal SBR and it does not offer rear SBR. ESC: The car offers ESC as standard. The Moose test performance reached a maximum of 85 km/h under ADAC Moose test and 75 km/h under Consumer magazine Moose test. SA: the car does not offer a speed limitation device. BSD: The car does not offer BSD. LSS and RED: the car does not offer an LSS system. AEB Interurban: the car does not offer an optional AEB system.

